



ABS*ci*CON 2017

MESA, ARIZONA

1
00:00:06,160 --> 00:00:12,250

you

2
00:00:15,520 --> 00:00:13,560

[Music]

3
00:00:18,340 --> 00:00:15,530

what I'd like to talk to you guys today

4
00:00:20,320 --> 00:00:18,350

about is some of the work we did in 2015

5
00:00:22,150 --> 00:00:20,330

looking at some of these small stars

6
00:00:23,679 --> 00:00:22,160

that may potentially build up a biotic

7
00:00:25,240 --> 00:00:23,689

oxygen in their atmospheres and I want

8
00:00:26,290 --> 00:00:25,250

to take a particular I want to take a

9
00:00:29,920 --> 00:00:26,300

couple of slides and actually talk about

10
00:00:32,290 --> 00:00:29,930

Proxima Centauri B so just to orient

11
00:00:36,430 --> 00:00:32,300

everyone with the big picture I'm going

12
00:00:38,650 --> 00:00:36,440

to bash on M dwarves for a while so not

13
00:00:42,310 --> 00:00:38,660

an exhaustive list of the pros and cons

14

00:00:43,869 --> 00:00:42,320

but potentially M dwarfs have very long

15

00:00:46,060 --> 00:00:43,879

main sequence lifetimes they have very

16

00:00:48,900 --> 00:00:46,070

stable habitable zones over long periods

17

00:00:51,310 --> 00:00:48,910

of time which is great for evolving life

18

00:00:52,930 --> 00:00:51,320

they are also the most abundant star

19

00:00:55,119 --> 00:00:52,940

type and they are more likely to have

20

00:00:56,740 --> 00:00:55,129

rocky planets as some of Robbie's work

21

00:01:00,880 --> 00:00:56,750

pointed out looking at occurrence rates

22

00:01:03,010 --> 00:01:00,890

for M dwarves on the con side they have

23

00:01:04,180 --> 00:01:03,020

very long super luminous pre main

24

00:01:05,980 --> 00:01:04,190

sequence lifetimes which could

25

00:01:07,779 --> 00:01:05,990

potentially dehydrate planets or turn

26

00:01:09,999 --> 00:01:07,789

gas giants into terrestrial planets as

27

00:01:12,999 --> 00:01:10,009

some of those Roderigo Lugar's work

28

00:01:14,980 --> 00:01:13,009

showed they may start out dry initially

29

00:01:18,130 --> 00:01:14,990

to start with just no water at all

30

00:01:19,330 --> 00:01:18,140

depending on where they form the planets

31

00:01:20,920 --> 00:01:19,340

in their habitable zones could be

32

00:01:22,510 --> 00:01:20,930

tidally locked which has a number of

33

00:01:25,390 --> 00:01:22,520

effects and I'm certainly not going to

34

00:01:27,580 --> 00:01:25,400

touch on that and flares as we've heard

35

00:01:29,649 --> 00:01:27,590

several times today could have a very

36

00:01:32,170 --> 00:01:29,659

detrimental effect on a planet even if

37

00:01:34,420 --> 00:01:32,180

it does have an ozone shield but one of

38

00:01:37,480 --> 00:01:34,430

the things that funk Ann and myself

39

00:01:39,370 --> 00:01:37,490

pointed out in 2014-2015 is that some of

40

00:01:41,649 --> 00:01:39,380

these planets could potentially build up

41

00:01:43,060 --> 00:01:41,659

oxygen in their atmospheres to the level

42

00:01:45,819 --> 00:01:43,070

where we might be able to see it and

43

00:01:48,399 --> 00:01:45,829

detect it as a false positive so what do

44

00:01:52,149 --> 00:01:48,409

I mean by a false positive if we look at

45

00:01:54,280 --> 00:01:52,159

the Earth's history and particularly the

46

00:01:56,950 --> 00:01:54,290

evolution of the atmospheric oxygen

47

00:01:58,960 --> 00:01:56,960

budget on the earth the Archaean is

48

00:01:59,620 --> 00:01:58,970

noted to have very low oxygen and then

49

00:02:02,139 --> 00:01:59,630

at the goe

50

00:02:04,780 --> 00:02:02,149

you see a rise of oxygen to between one

51
00:02:07,030 --> 00:02:04,790
and 10 percent classically this estimate

52
00:02:09,310 --> 00:02:07,040
has been revised downward from some NOAA

53
00:02:12,160 --> 00:02:09,320
plan Topsy's work so at most it might be

54
00:02:15,720 --> 00:02:12,170
0.1% of the present atmospheric level of

55
00:02:18,039 --> 00:02:15,730
oxygen which is not a lot of oxygen and

56
00:02:20,020 --> 00:02:18,049
if you think about this from a detection

57
00:02:21,160 --> 00:02:20,030
perspective and I misses again broad

58
00:02:22,800 --> 00:02:21,170
brushstrokes I'm sure we're going to

59
00:02:25,650 --> 00:02:22,810
hear more about this likely

60
00:02:27,540 --> 00:02:25,660
Sarab Homer if you look at this from

61
00:02:30,150 --> 00:02:27,550
broad brushstrokes you can detect oxygen

62
00:02:34,020 --> 00:02:30,160
if it's greater than about 1% of modern

63
00:02:37,290 --> 00:02:34,030

oxygen you can detect ozone for about

64

00:02:39,920 --> 00:02:37,300

0.1% of modern oxygen levels and that's

65

00:02:42,870 --> 00:02:39,930

from a 2003 paper from Antigonus agora

66

00:02:45,390 --> 00:02:42,880

now that means that there could

67

00:02:47,550 --> 00:02:45,400

potentially be vast portions of our

68

00:02:49,979 --> 00:02:47,560

geologic history where we might not see

69

00:02:51,059 --> 00:02:49,989

life but there could be life happening

70

00:02:55,259 --> 00:02:51,069

on the surface of that planet as

71

00:02:57,600 --> 00:02:55,269

mentioned earlier by Nikki and the

72

00:02:59,550 --> 00:02:57,610

corollary here is that a false-positive

73

00:03:01,890 --> 00:02:59,560

could be any amount of oxygen that

74

00:03:04,080 --> 00:03:01,900

exceeds the amount of oxygen we expected

75

00:03:09,210 --> 00:03:04,090

for Earth's history where oxygen was a

76

00:03:17,370 --> 00:03:09,220

factor so how are we applying this to

77

00:03:20,520 --> 00:03:17,380

Proxima Centauri P I want to point out

78

00:03:23,160 --> 00:03:20,530

first that with any modeling effort it

79

00:03:25,020 --> 00:03:23,170

is important to state explicitly what

80

00:03:28,319 --> 00:03:25,030

your underlying assumptions are for that

81

00:03:31,259 --> 00:03:28,329

model now for our model we're

82

00:03:33,180 --> 00:03:31,269

considering global redox balances one of

83

00:03:35,210 --> 00:03:33,190

the the gold standards for the

84

00:03:38,400 --> 00:03:35,220

self-consistent steady-state of

85

00:03:39,750 --> 00:03:38,410

atmospheric composition and global redox

86

00:03:42,420 --> 00:03:39,760

balance is essentially the balance

87

00:03:45,330 --> 00:03:42,430

between reducing power coming into the

88

00:03:46,620 --> 00:03:45,340

atmosphere either through volcanic gases

89

00:03:49,050 --> 00:03:46,630

being introduced in the atmosphere or

90

00:03:51,930 --> 00:03:49,060

oxidative weathering so that's a sink

91

00:03:54,240 --> 00:03:51,940

fraction or a net source for hydrogen

92

00:03:55,979 --> 00:03:54,250

essentially balanced by the escape of

93

00:03:58,319 --> 00:03:55,989

hydrogen into space and the burial of

94

00:04:00,270 --> 00:03:58,329

reducing constituents now because my

95

00:04:02,610 --> 00:04:00,280

planet is lifeless a lot of these things

96

00:04:05,400 --> 00:04:02,620

go away which makes global redox balance

97

00:04:08,129 --> 00:04:05,410

a much easier equation to solve and for

98

00:04:10,530 --> 00:04:08,139

us global reacts balance is satisfied

99

00:04:14,340 --> 00:04:10,540

when we balance volcanic outgassing by

100

00:04:16,020 --> 00:04:14,350

the escape of hydrogen to space you can

101

00:04:17,940 --> 00:04:16,030

certainly make very different

102

00:04:19,500 --> 00:04:17,950

assumptions about your planet for

103

00:04:21,750 --> 00:04:19,510

example you can choose not to satisfy

104

00:04:23,670 --> 00:04:21,760

global reax valve but you must state

105

00:04:26,370 --> 00:04:23,680

explicitly that you are doing so and has

106

00:04:28,650 --> 00:04:26,380

a debt it has a very different effect on

107

00:04:31,830 --> 00:04:28,660

your model results so sitting in

108

00:04:33,270 --> 00:04:31,840

explicitly as an important

109

00:04:35,279 --> 00:04:33,280

and what this looks like from a

110

00:04:37,679 --> 00:04:35,289

schematic level at least for M star

111

00:04:39,179 --> 00:04:37,689

planets well let me back up it looks

112

00:04:40,469 --> 00:04:39,189

like this for most terrestrial planets

113

00:04:42,809 --> 00:04:40,479

but it looks very different in

114

00:04:43,800 --> 00:04:42,819

application for M star plants is in the

115

00:04:46,830 --> 00:04:43,810

upper part of the atmosphere you can

116

00:04:49,499 --> 00:04:46,840

photolyze CO_2 and you can make CO and O

117

00:04:51,330 --> 00:04:49,509

2 and stoichiometric quantities and then

118

00:04:53,309 --> 00:04:51,340

that CO no.2 can flow into the lower

119

00:04:55,740 --> 00:04:53,319

atmosphere where water vapor photolysis

120

00:04:58,770 --> 00:04:55,750

catalyzes the recombination of CO

121

00:05:01,350 --> 00:04:58,780

back into CO_2 and part of the 2015

122

00:05:03,779 --> 00:05:01,360

paper we outlined that this process is

123

00:05:06,149 --> 00:05:03,789

fairly slower around M dwarfs and so one

124

00:05:09,209 --> 00:05:06,159

of the big questions is what happens to

125

00:05:11,610 --> 00:05:09,219

the CO_2 and the O_2 in the solution

126

00:05:13,290 --> 00:05:11,620

in the ocean global ocean and they could

127

00:05:15,629 --> 00:05:13,300

potentially recombine but those aqueous

128

00:05:16,709 --> 00:05:15,639

reaction rates are relatively unknown so

129

00:05:20,429 --> 00:05:16,719

that's why there's a big question mark

130

00:05:23,459 --> 00:05:20,439

down here and so I mentioned before that

131

00:05:27,689 --> 00:05:23,469

this catalysis is relatively slow in M

132

00:05:29,550 --> 00:05:27,699

dwarf planets and that's a relic of the

133

00:05:30,719 --> 00:05:29,560

fact that the spectra that you're seeing

134

00:05:32,969 --> 00:05:30,729

at the top of the atmosphere is very

135

00:05:36,809 --> 00:05:32,979

different for solar-type stars as

136

00:05:39,570 --> 00:05:36,819

compared to M dwarf stars here you can

137

00:05:42,659 --> 00:05:39,580

see that a sun-like star has very bright

138

00:05:44,999 --> 00:05:42,669

in the near UV and it's fairly dim in

139

00:05:47,579 --> 00:05:45,009

the fuv but for M stars particularly

140

00:05:50,550 --> 00:05:47,589

Proxima Centauri it's essentially flat

141

00:05:53,850 --> 00:05:50,560

across the UV which gives it a very high

142

00:05:55,260 --> 00:05:53,860

f UV to n UV ratio and I zoomed in here

143

00:05:57,839 --> 00:05:55,270

just a little bit so that you can see

144

00:06:00,600 --> 00:05:57,849

and these are 1 au equivalent so these

145

00:06:01,760 --> 00:06:00,610

are integrated to be 1360 Watts premier

146

00:06:04,829 --> 00:06:01,770

squared at the top of the atmosphere

147

00:06:06,899 --> 00:06:04,839

that's why you're seeing relatively low

148

00:06:08,939 --> 00:06:06,909

contributions of UV and visible

149

00:06:10,559 --> 00:06:08,949

radiation from process and it's mostly

150

00:06:12,629 --> 00:06:10,569

in the infrared where you're getting

151

00:06:14,700 --> 00:06:12,639

most of the radiation but you can see

152

00:06:16,829 --> 00:06:14,710

that because it's relatively flattened

153

00:06:19,679 --> 00:06:16,839

it's a very different character f UV to

154

00:06:21,689 --> 00:06:19,689

n UV ratio which affects the chemistry

155

00:06:23,490 --> 00:06:21,699

because a lot of the fatalis is of

156

00:06:26,730 --> 00:06:23,500

important constituents in the atmosphere

157

00:06:30,340 --> 00:06:26,740

like co2 and o2 and water vapor happen

158

00:06:34,280 --> 00:06:30,350

in a relatively narrow UV region

159

00:06:39,860 --> 00:06:37,280

the 2015 paper pointed out that the fuv

160

00:06:42,680 --> 00:06:39,870

to nuv ratio was the dominant control

161

00:06:44,900 --> 00:06:42,690

for the abiotic production of oxygen in

162

00:06:48,170 --> 00:06:44,910

a terrestrial planetary atmosphere so

163

00:06:51,020 --> 00:06:48,180

these are 5% co2 cases located at about

164

00:06:53,390 --> 00:06:51,030

1.3 au equivalent so they're relatively

165

00:06:56,530 --> 00:06:53,400

far out in the habitable zone relatively

166

00:06:59,360 --> 00:06:56,540

colder and that decrease in radiation

167

00:07:00,740 --> 00:06:59,370

allows essentially the buildup of co2

168

00:07:03,620 --> 00:07:00,750

because we assume that there's a

169

00:07:05,930 --> 00:07:03,630

carbonate silicate feedback cycle but as

170

00:07:09,050 --> 00:07:05,940

you see for a sun-like star you get

171

00:07:11,680 --> 00:07:09,060

relatively low levels of abiotic oxygen

172

00:07:14,230 --> 00:07:11,690

vanishingly small what we think is can

173

00:07:15,950 --> 00:07:14,240

contend

174

00:07:19,010 --> 00:07:15,960

commensurate with what we see in the

175

00:07:21,140 --> 00:07:19,020

Archaean but as you change the fuv to

176

00:07:24,230 --> 00:07:21,150

any view ratio it's especially 4k

177

00:07:25,790 --> 00:07:24,240

dwarves and M dwarves you see that the

178

00:07:28,580 --> 00:07:25,800

amount of oxygen you produce from co2

179

00:07:30,350 --> 00:07:28,590

photolysis is actually on the order of a

180

00:07:31,670 --> 00:07:30,360

few percent in some cases and the new

181

00:07:34,580 --> 00:07:31,680

result here is that Proxima Centauri

182

00:07:37,970 --> 00:07:34,590

plots off the plot which is never

183

00:07:40,760 --> 00:07:37,980

encouraging and so you get up to about

184

00:07:43,130 --> 00:07:40,770

15% oxygen from a co2 dominated

185

00:07:45,680 --> 00:07:43,140

atmosphere in this case and this is a

186

00:07:49,670 --> 00:07:45,690

very limited part of parameter space if

187

00:07:51,740 --> 00:07:49,680

you include more co2 you get more oxygen

188

00:07:53,810 --> 00:07:51,750

it's just a consequence of the fact that

189

00:07:56,390 --> 00:07:53,820

your photo lysing co2 but if you have

190

00:07:59,060 --> 00:07:56,400

less co2 you will see less oxygen that's

191

00:08:01,190 --> 00:07:59,070

this bottom panel here where we varied

192

00:08:03,710 --> 00:08:01,200

the amount of co2 in the atmosphere and

193

00:08:05,780 --> 00:08:03,720

watched as the oxygen scaled with it and

194

00:08:07,700 --> 00:08:05,790

so I've put this dashed line here which

195

00:08:10,160 --> 00:08:07,710

is my threshold for a false positive

196

00:08:12,110 --> 00:08:10,170

oxygen signal and you can see that for

197

00:08:14,090 --> 00:08:12,120

large amounts of co2 which is

198

00:08:15,860 --> 00:08:14,100

commensurate with being located in the

199

00:08:17,360 --> 00:08:15,870

outer regions of the habitable zone it's

200

00:08:19,790 --> 00:08:17,370

entirely possible that you could build

201
00:08:22,640 --> 00:08:19,800
up some oxygen above that threshold but

202
00:08:25,370 --> 00:08:22,650
for relatively small amounts of co2 that

203
00:08:26,780 --> 00:08:25,380
oxygen false-positive does go away and

204
00:08:28,520 --> 00:08:26,790
you can include the effect of other

205
00:08:31,340 --> 00:08:28,530
reducing gases like more hydrogen

206
00:08:33,140 --> 00:08:31,350
methane ammonia outgassing for example

207
00:08:35,060 --> 00:08:33,150
which is not really a thing on earth but

208
00:08:38,300 --> 00:08:35,070
could be on other planets composition is

209
00:08:40,280 --> 00:08:38,310
a thing it actually decreases the amount

210
00:08:42,820 --> 00:08:40,290
of oxygen you can accumulate in the

211
00:08:47,320 --> 00:08:42,830
atmosphere but because these planets are

212
00:08:49,180 --> 00:08:47,330
and it's never easy the likelihood of Co

213
00:08:50,829 --> 00:08:49,190

runaway which is a consequence of some

214

00:08:52,500 --> 00:08:50,839

of the modeling we've done has been

215

00:08:55,769 --> 00:08:52,510

outlined by Kevin Donnelly in the past

216

00:08:58,960 --> 00:08:55,779

the Co runaway becomes a more

217

00:09:01,000 --> 00:08:58,970

problematic issue it occurs more often

218

00:09:05,530 --> 00:09:01,010

especially when you introduce more

219

00:09:07,180 --> 00:09:05,540

complex chemistry in these cases and so

220

00:09:10,030 --> 00:09:07,190

what I want you to take away from this

221

00:09:11,769 --> 00:09:10,040

talk is that with the same volcanic al

222

00:09:15,160 --> 00:09:11,779

casting and boundary conditions Proxima

223

00:09:17,860 --> 00:09:15,170

Centauri B behaves much like the other M

224

00:09:20,500 --> 00:09:17,870

star planets in that it can accumulate

225

00:09:23,110 --> 00:09:20,510

oxygen potentially above this threshold

226

00:09:26,860 --> 00:09:23,120

that we've assumed for a false positive

227

00:09:29,710 --> 00:09:26,870

from Earth's history but what you should

228

00:09:32,829 --> 00:09:29,720

note is that it also is dependent on the

229

00:09:35,650 --> 00:09:32,839

the UV spectrum of the hosts are for so

230

00:09:38,050 --> 00:09:35,660

for F and G and K stars they don't

231

00:09:40,600 --> 00:09:38,060

really show the same sort of behavior so

232

00:09:42,760 --> 00:09:40,610

for much of the planets that we may

233

00:09:44,829 --> 00:09:42,770

observe in the far future it's entirely

234

00:09:47,230 --> 00:09:44,839

likely that this mechanism is not going

235

00:09:49,750 --> 00:09:47,240

to be a large source of uncertainty in

236

00:09:52,900 --> 00:09:49,760

the amount of oxygen we might detect and

237

00:09:56,410 --> 00:09:52,910

this result also is a fairly dependent

238

00:09:58,389 --> 00:09:56,420

on which modelling group has contributed

239

00:10:00,150 --> 00:09:58,399

these results and so we are currently

240

00:10:02,350 --> 00:10:00,160

well underway in a model enter

241

00:10:03,819 --> 00:10:02,360

comparison to try to route out exactly

242

00:10:05,829 --> 00:10:03,829

what's driving the differences between

243

00:10:08,620 --> 00:10:05,839

whether or not you generate abiotic

244

00:10:14,240 --> 00:10:08,630

oxygen and so with that I'd like to take

245

00:10:18,900 --> 00:10:16,889

we have we have time for two to three

246

00:10:22,800 --> 00:10:18,910

questions here we are on time our

247

00:10:26,670 --> 00:10:22,810

speakers are awesome go to micron I was

248

00:10:27,840 --> 00:10:26,680

precisely on time thank you yeah let me

249

00:10:31,410 --> 00:10:27,850

repeat on NASA Goddard Space Flight

250

00:10:33,780 --> 00:10:31,420

Center yeah so the here you discussed

251

00:10:37,850 --> 00:10:33,790

the effect of the far UV and the near UV

252

00:10:42,449 --> 00:10:37,860

of photochemistry and - atmospheres but

253

00:10:44,759 --> 00:10:42,459

the we find that deflect of XUV EUV an

254

00:10:46,819 --> 00:10:44,769

x-ray are much more important especially

255

00:10:49,470 --> 00:10:46,829

when it comes to photo ionization

256

00:10:52,530 --> 00:10:49,480

because the process that you discover

257

00:10:54,360 --> 00:10:52,540

that they described is only works when

258

00:10:57,329 --> 00:10:54,370

everything is neutral and nice however

259

00:10:59,130 --> 00:10:57,339

it's not the case and we found and I

260

00:11:01,829 --> 00:10:59,140

don't know you didn't mention our paper

261

00:11:04,350 --> 00:11:01,839

when we will discuss the approxima be

262

00:11:07,740 --> 00:11:04,360

there atmospheric was escape due to the

263

00:11:09,269 --> 00:11:07,750

iron escape mechanism which we find very

264

00:11:17,280 --> 00:11:09,279

very important especially when you have

265

00:11:20,340 --> 00:11:17,290

a high fluxes the energy fluxes and

266

00:11:21,530 --> 00:11:20,350

in EU V which is basically the case for

267

00:11:23,850 --> 00:11:21,540

a lot of Emsworth

268

00:11:25,470 --> 00:11:23,860

we're breaking a with exception of

269

00:11:27,720 --> 00:11:25,480

probably couple of very very weakly

270

00:11:29,880 --> 00:11:27,730

that's one thing the second is magnetic

271

00:11:31,889 --> 00:11:29,890

field we know that the Proxima Centauri

272

00:11:34,710 --> 00:11:31,899

has a magnetic field three hundred times

273

00:11:37,560 --> 00:11:34,720

stronger than our Sun so that means that

274

00:11:39,630 --> 00:11:37,570

at the distance of 0.05 the magnetic

275

00:11:41,400 --> 00:11:39,640

field the BZ component will be

276

00:11:43,620 --> 00:11:41,410

comparable to the magnetic field of

277

00:11:44,610 --> 00:11:43,630

Earth which will destroy the magnetic

278

00:11:47,220 --> 00:11:44,620

field so you

279

00:11:49,079 --> 00:11:47,230

so the megiddo steric effect come there

280

00:11:52,530 --> 00:11:49,089

become extremely important if not

281

00:11:54,480 --> 00:11:52,540

defining in the the whole climate models

282

00:11:57,150 --> 00:11:54,490

were on the assumption that everything

283

00:11:59,639 --> 00:11:57,160

is nice and soft so that will change the

284

00:12:01,620 --> 00:11:59,649

whole dynamics I totally agree with your

285

00:12:03,090 --> 00:12:01,630

opinion about the x-ray being a large

286

00:12:04,710 --> 00:12:03,100

component that and magnetic fields are

287

00:12:07,380 --> 00:12:04,720

very important but we do have some

288

00:12:08,490 --> 00:12:07,390

evidence that there are M star planets

289

00:12:10,620 --> 00:12:08,500

like the trampa system that are

290

00:12:12,590 --> 00:12:10,630

compatible with being a few percent

291

00:12:15,480 --> 00:12:12,600

water and if you've completely destroyed

292

00:12:17,670 --> 00:12:15,490

your atmosphere by drag offs and where's

293

00:12:19,500 --> 00:12:17,680

the water component so it seems like

294

00:12:21,329 --> 00:12:19,510

there's a disconnect there between what

295

00:12:23,400 --> 00:12:21,339

we're just seeing in nature and what

296

00:12:25,350 --> 00:12:23,410

we're modeling which is I agree a big

297

00:12:28,139 --> 00:12:25,360

issue we will have

298

00:12:29,609 --> 00:12:28,149

to go back to the next question if maybe

299

00:12:31,169 --> 00:12:29,619

we can continue it right there again the

300

00:12:34,439 --> 00:12:31,179

show some of the results of simulations

301

00:12:37,590 --> 00:12:34,449

for trapeze yeah after much shorter

302

00:12:40,079 --> 00:12:37,600

question your fuv is it is it dominated

303

00:12:41,309 --> 00:12:40,089

by Diamond alpha absolutely and then in

304

00:12:43,199 --> 00:12:41,319

that case the cross-sections of

305

00:12:43,710 --> 00:12:43,209

different molecules will be different

306

00:12:47,220 --> 00:12:43,720

right

307

00:12:48,449 --> 00:12:47,230

so yes the that also disconnect is

308

00:12:50,489 --> 00:12:48,459

because we're assuming that it's an

309

00:12:53,400 --> 00:12:50,499

average absorption across a wave band so

310

00:12:54,809 --> 00:12:53,410

that that is something that we can do

311

00:12:58,259 --> 00:12:54,819

better in the future and it is also

312

00:13:02,069 --> 00:12:58,269

pressured a payment yes yeah yeah okay

313

00:13:03,569 --> 00:13:02,079

we have noble questions all right so

314

00:13:04,710 --> 00:13:03,579

we'll go to the next speaker